PLSC 481D: The US Federal Court System

Date and Time: TBD

Location: TBD

Instructor: Michael Catalano

Email: mcatala4@binghamton.edu

Office: LNG 77

Office Hours: TBD

Table of Contents

- Page 3: Course Description
- Page 4: Course Goals
- Page 5: Required Texts/Readings
- Page 6: Grading Structure
- Page 7: Academic Integrity
- Page 8: Notes on Simulation
- Page 9: Students with Special Circumstances
- Page 10-17: Schedule

Course Description

This course examines the components and mechanics of the US federal court system, including the Supreme Court of the United States, US Court of Appeals, US District Courts, and state courts. We will cover aspects of constitutional law, constitutional design of the US court system, processes and procedures of courts, court institutions, and judicial behavior. Students can expect to develop an understanding of the distinct and shared roles these courts play in the US political system and policy-making processes. The role of judicial independence and how it is fostered will be the common thread throughout this course.

Open to Seniors only (Political Science, PPL, ENVI, Dual Diploma majors). Others permitted by petition.

Course Goals

- 1) Develop and practice critical thinking skills regarding the structure and behavior of the US federal court system.
- 2) Explore the role judicial independence plays in the US political system and how institutions structure judicial independence.
- 3) Learn about the different types of courts, their institutional structures, jurisdiction, and processes and procedures.
- 4) Understand how courts interact with each other and other branches of government in the US federal political system.
- 5) Apply a social science lens to analyze past and current court actions and decisions.

Required Texts/Readings

Miller, Mark C. 2015. Judicial Politics in the United States. New York: Routledge.

(Hereafter referred to as Miller text. Available online through library – request access directions from instructor)

The United States Constitution (online)

The Federalist Papers (online)

Articles and book chapters listed on the schedule at the end of this syllabus.

Grading Structure

Basis of Grade Calculation		
ltem	% of Overall Grade	
Exam #1	20%	
Exam #2	20%	
Quizzes/Participa	tion 20%	
Simulation Paper 25%		
Simulation Preser	ntation 15%	

<u>Grading Scale</u>		
Α	94-100	
A-	90-93	
B+	87-89	
В	83-86	
B-	80-82	
C+	77-79	
С	73-76	
C-	70-72	
D	65-69	
F	<65	

Academic Integrity

Faculty and students have a responsibility to abide by and vigorously promote the highest standards of academic integrity.

Students are to carefully review the Student Academic Honesty Code, which can be found on-line in the University Bulletin.

Have questions? Ask me!!

Violations will receive a grade of 0.00 (F) for the class and likely proceedings before the Academic Honesty Committee.

Notes on Simulation

- We will conclude the course with a simulation, taking what we have learned through this course and your prior courses.
- In the simulation, Washington DC has been admitted as the 51st state. We have been commissioned to design the new state's court system.
- The simulation contains three components:
 - 1) In class negotiations
 - 2) In class proposal presentations
 - 3) Final paper
- More information will come throughout the semester.

Students with Special Circumstances

Students who have a disability requiring accommodation (as documented by Services for Students with Disabilities) should be sure to contact me in the first week or so of classes so that we can discuss the logistics of providing any accommodation(s) to which they are entitled.

Student athletes and students who are in the military should also be sure to contact me in the first week or so of classes so that we can develop a plan (if necessary) to help students simultaneously meet their academic obligations for this class and their athletic or military responsibilities.

I am also happy to discuss any other special circumstances (family illness, childcare) that students are experiencing so that we can plan accordingly to permit students to navigate both their academic and other obligations.

Date	Торіс	Readings
January 26	Constitutional Foundations	Federalist No. 10 and No. 51
	In the Beginning	
January 31	Constitutional Foundations	Federalist No. 78-82
	An Independent Judiciary	Miller Text (p. 55-57)
February 2	Constitutional Foundations	US Con Art III, US Con Article I Section 8, US Con Article II Section 2, US Con 11 th Amendment
	Constitutional Provisions	Vanberg, Georg. 2001. "Legislative-Judicial Relations: A Game Theoretic Approach to Constitutional Review." <i>American Journal of Political Science</i> 45(2): 346-361.
February 7	Constitutional Foundations	Frankel, Robert P. 2003. "Before Marbury: Hylton v. United States and the Origins of Judicial Review." <i>Journal of Supreme Court History</i> 28(1): 1-13.
	Judicial Review	Harvey, Anna. 2015. "The Economic Origins of Entrenched Judicial Review." <i>Studies in American Political Development</i> 29(April): 1-22.

Date	Торіс	Readings
February 9	Judicial Process and Procedure	Miller Text Chapter 1
	What Is Law?	
February 14	Judicial Process and Procedure	Miller Text Chapter 2
	Structure of US Court System	
February 16	Judicial Process and Procedure	Miller Text Chapter 7
	Appellate Court Process	Visit from Pre-Law Society
February 21	Judicial Process and Procedure	Miller Text Chapter 8
	Judicial Decision-making	Harris, Allison P. and Maya Sen. 2019. "Bias and Judging." <i>Annual Review of Political Science</i> 22(1): 241-259.

Date	Торіс	Readings
February 23	Exam #1	
February 28	Supreme Court of the US	Segal, Jeffrey, and Harold Spaeth. 2002. <i>The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model, Revisited</i> . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 2 and 3 (p.44-114).
	Sincere Judiciary	
March 2	Supreme Court of the US	Epstein, Lee, and Jack Knight. 1998. <i>The Choices Justices Make</i> . Washington, D.C.: CQ Press. Chapters 1-4 (p. 1-137)
	Strategic Judiciary	
March 7	US Court of Appeals	Martinek, Wendy L. 2009. "Appellate Workhorses of the Federal Judiciary: The U.S. Courts of Appeals." in <i>Exploring Judicial Politics</i> . Mark C. Miller ed. Oxford University Press: New York. Chapter 9 (p.125-139).
	Appellate Workhorses	Hinkle, Rachael K. 2015. "Legal Constraint in the U.S. Courts of Appeals." <i>Journal of Politics</i> 77(3): 721-735.

Date	Торіс	Readings
March 9	US Court of Appeals	Collins, Paul, and Wendy Martinek. 2010 "Friends of the Circuits: Interest Group Influence on Decision Making in the US Courts of Appeals." <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> 91(2): 397-414.
	Amicus and Interest Groups	
March 14	Spring Break	
March 16	Spring Break	
March 21	US Court of Appeals	Duck-Mayr, JBrandon, Thomas G. Hansford, and James F. Spriggs. 2021. "Agenda Setting and Attention to Precedent in the US Federal Courts" <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> 9(2): 233-260.
	Agenda Setting	Giles, Michael W., Thomas G. Walker, and Christopher Zorn. 2006. Setting a Judicial Agenda: The Decision to Grant En banc Review in the U.S. Court of Appeals." <i>Journal of Politics</i> 68(4): 852-866.

Date	Торіс	Readings
March 23	US Court of Appeals	Boston, Joshua. 2020. "Strategic Opinion Language on the US Courts of Appeal." <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> 8(1): 1-26.
March 28	US District Courts	Barnes, Jeb. 2009. "U.S. District Courts, Litigation, and the Policy-Making Process." in <i>Exploring Judicial Politics</i> . Mark C. Miller ed. Oxford University Press: New York. Chapter 7 (p.97-109)
	Federal Trial Courts	Randazzo, Kirk A. 2008. "Strategic Anticipation and the Hierarchy of Justice in the U.S. District Courts." <i>American Politics Research</i> 36(5): 669-693.
March 30	US District Courts	Redman, Shane. 2017. "Descriptive Gender Representation and Legitimacy in U.S. Trial Courts." <i>Justice System Journal</i> 38(4): 311-331.
	Descriptive Representation	Boyd, Christina L. 2016. "Representation on the Courts? The Effects of Trial Judges' Sex and Race." <i>Political Research Quarterly</i> 69: 788-799.
April 4	US District Courts	Johnson, Gbemende. 2019. "Adjudicating Executive Privilege: Federal Administrative Agencies and Delibertative Process Privilege Claims in U.S. District Courts." <i>Law & Society Review</i> 53(3): 823-850.
	Courts and Executive Power	Campbell, Tom, and Nathaniel Wilcox. 2020. "Younger Federal District Court Judges Favor Presidential Power." <i>Journal of Law and Economics</i> 63(February): 181-202.

Date	Торіс	Readings
April 6	Exam #2	
April 11	State Courts/DC Courts Simulation	Brace, Paul, Melinda Gann Hall, and Laura Langer. 2001. "Placing State Supreme Courts in State Politics." <i>State Politics and Policy Quarterly</i> 1 (1): 81-108.
	Importance of State Courts	McLeod, Aman. 2009. "Differences in State Judicial Selection." in <i>Exploring Judicial Politics</i> . Mark C. Miller ed. Oxford University Press: New York. Chapter 2 (p.10-30)
April 13	No Class	
	Friday Classes Meet	
April 18	No Class	
	Passover/Easter Break	

Date	Торіс	Readings
April 19	DC Courts Simulation	
April 20	State Courts	Arrington, Nancy. 2018. "Gender and Judicial Replacement." <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> 6(1): 127-154
	Selection Methods	Hall, Melinda Gann. 1995. "Justices as Representatives." <i>American Political Quarterly</i> 23(4): 485-503.
April 25	State Courts	Canes-Wrone, Brandice, Tom S. Clark, and Jee-Kwang Park. 2012. "Judicial Independence and Retention Elections." <i>Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization</i> 28(2): 211-234.
	Retention Methods	Reid, Rebecca, and Todd Curry. 2021. "Explaining Indigenous Peoples' Success in State Supreme Courts: Party Capability, Judicial Selection, and Representation." <i>Journal of Law and Courts</i> 9(1): 69-87.
April 27	State Courts	Fife, Madelyn, Greg Goelzhauser, and Stephen Loertscher. 2021. "Selecting Chief Justices by Peer Vote." <i>State Politics & Policy Quarterly</i> 21(2): 165-194.April 25
	Chief Justices	Vining, Richard, Teena Wilhelm, and David Hughes. 2019. "The Chief Justice as Effective Administrative Leader: The Impact of Policy Scope and Interbranch Relations." <i>Social Science Quarterly</i> 100(4): 1358-1368.

Date	Торіс	Readings
May 2	State Courts	Leonard, Meghan E. 2016. "State Legislatures, State High Courts, and Judicial Independence: An Examination of Court-Curbing Legislation in the States." <i>Justice System Journal</i> 37 (1): 53-62.
	Court Curbing	Blackley, Keith. 2019. "Court Curbing in the State House: Why State Legislators Attack Their Courts." <i>Justice System Journal</i> 40 (4): 269-285.
May 4	DC Courts Simulation	
May 9	DC Courts Simulation	
May 11	DC Courts Presentation/Conclusion	
May 16	Final Paper Due	